Case 8:08-cv-01039-SVW-RNB Document 125-1 Filed 07/06/10 Page 1 of 5 FILED 1 Chad S. Campbell, Bar No. 258723 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CSCampbell@perkinscoie.com Aaron Matz (Admitted pro hac vice)
AMatz@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. 2 JUL 1 3 2010 3 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788 Telephone: 602-351-8000 4 BY 5 Facsimile: 602-648-7000 6 John E. Peterson, Bar No. 197978 JePeterson@perkinscoie.com 7 PERKINS COIE LLP 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 Telephone: 310-788-9900 Facsimile: 310-788-3399 8 9 10 Attorneys for Defendants STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. and STMICROELECTRONICS N.V. 11 Counsel list continued on next page 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 MICROPROCESSOR Case No. SACV 08-1039 SVW (RNBx) ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION 17 PROPOSED FINAL JUDGMENT and MICHAEL H. BRANIGIN. 18 Plaintiffs, 19 v. 20 STMICROELECTRONICS N.V. and STMICROELECTRONICS, 21 INC., 22 Defendants. 23 and 24 ARM LTD. 25 Defendant-Intervenor. 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT SACV 08-1039 SVW (RNBx)

Case 8:08-cv-01039-SVW-RNB Document 125-1 Filed 07/06/10 Page 2 of 5 BROWN, WHITE & NEWHOUSE LLP George B. Newhouse, Jr., Bar No. 107036 gnewhouse@brownwhitelaw.com
Sydney M. Mehringer, Bar No. 245282 smehringer@brownwhitelaw.com
333 South Hope Street, 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1406
Telephone: 213-613-0500
Facsimile: 213-613-0550 WILEY REIN LLP JAMES WALLACE (admitted pro hac vice) jwallace@wileyrein.com KEVIN P. ANDERSON (admitted pro hac vice) kanderson@wileyrein.com 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: 202-719-7000 Facsimile: 202-719-7049 Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor ARM LTD. [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT -2-SACV 08-1039 SVW (RNBx) 1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13 14

15

16 17

1 /

18 19 //

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The motion for summary judgment of non-infringement by Defendants STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. and by Defendant-Intervenor ARM Ltd. having come on regularly for hearing before the Court, the Honorable Stephen V. Wilson, District Judge, presiding, and the matter having been duly heard and considered and a decision having been duly rendered, the Court finding that:

- 1. The products of STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. that incorporate an ARM 11 processor core furnished by ARM Ltd. do not infringe United States Patent No. 5,471,593;
- 2. Defendants STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. are entitled to summary judgment of noninfringement with respect to their products that incorporate an ARM 11 processor core furnished by ARM Ltd.;
- 3. Intervenor ARM Ltd. is entitled to summary judgment of noninfringement with respect to the ARM 11 processor core;
- 4. Defendants STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. shall recover their costs of suit;
 - 5. ARM Ltd. shall recover its costs of suit.

Case 8:08-cv-01039-SVW-RNB Document 125-1 Filed 07/06/10 Page 4 of 5

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

- A. Plaintiffs shall take nothing from Defendants STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. on plaintiffs' claims and judgment for STMicroelectronics, N.V., STMicroelectronics, Inc. and ARM Ltd. is granted;
- B. Judgment is granted to STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. on their First Counterclaim seeking a declaration of noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,471,593;
- C. Judgment is granted to ARM Ltd. on its defense of noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,471,593;
- D. All other pending counterclaims are dismissed without prejudice;
- E. STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. shall recover their costs of suit; and
- F. ARM Ltd. shall recover its costs of suit.

DATED:

HONORABLE STEPHEN V. WILSON

Proposed by:

The foregoing proposed judgment is submitted by Defendants STMicroelectronics, N.V. and STMicroelectronics, Inc. and by Defendant-Intervenor ARM Ltd. The undersigned confirm that the foregoing proposed judgment was disclosed to counsel for Plaintiffs Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. and Michael Branigin and that said counsel for Plaintiffs approved the proposed judgment as to form.

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT